SUB-PROJECT: EVALUATION OF ALTERNATE CANISTERS

TEAM MEMBERS:

DICK BLANEY; PETE DIRKMAAT; DINESH GUPTA; CHARLES FORSBERG; PAUL HARRINGTON; TOM HILL; JIM LINHART; DAVE MEREDITH; MARK SENDERLING

CONSIDERATIONS IN EVALUATING ALTERNATE CANISTERS

- POSSIBLY FIND A LESS EXPENSIVE AND MORE EFFICIENT PACKAGING OPTION FOR DOE SNF
- REPOSITORY ACCEPTANCE
- · OPERATIONAL SAFETY
- SECURITY CONSIDERATIONS
- PROGRAMMATIC AND TECHNICAL RISK
- · NEPA IMPACTS

OPTIONS EVALUATED:

- · LESS EXPENSIVE DOE SNF STANDARDIZED CANISTERS
- · CERMET CASK
- · LARGE MPC NAVAL PROGRAM CONCEPT
- · LOADING WP AT EM SITES
- · USING MCOs
- USING DOE SNF STANDARDIZED CANISTERS FOR REMAINING N-REACTOR SNF
- · LARGE MPC TO BE INSERTED INTO ALLOY-22 SLEEVE

CONCLUSIONS

- ALTHOUGH THE SUB-PROJECT TEAM DID NOT COMPLETE A FINAL EVALUATION OF ALL THE ALTERNATIVES UNDER CONSIDERATION, IT WAS NOT OBVIOUS THAT THERE IS AN ALTERNATIVE THAT WOULD BE CONSIDERED BETTER THAN THE CURRENT BASELINE.
- THE TEAM CONCLUDED SEVERAL OF THE ALTERNATIVES DID NOT WARRANT FURTHER CONSIDERATION:
 - LOADING WP AT EM SITES;
 - USING MCOs
 - USING STANDARDIZED CANISTERS FOR REMAINING N-REACTOR SNF
 - LARGE MPC TO BE INSERTED INTO ALLOY-22 SLEEVE

CONCLUSIONS (contd.)

- CURRENT SCHEDULE FOR SUBMITTING LICENSE
 APPLICATION IN DECEMBER 2004 WILL NOT ACCOMMODATE
 NEEDED ANALYSES FOR USING ALTERNATE CANISTERS
 BEFORE THE LA SUBMITTAL.
- A LICENSE AMENDMENT FOR POSSIBLE USE OF ALTERNATE CANISTERS MAY COST \$10 M OR MORE. THIS WILL TAKE A LARGE BITE OUT OF ANY POTENTIAL SAVINGS ENVISIONED BY USING LESS EXPENSIVE ALTERNATIVE.